

Words as Weapons

Social Engineering for a **SINFUL SOCIETY** via Verbal Engineering

Submission on Senator Penny Wong's Sex Discrimination Amendment Bill 2018 (Removing Discrimination Against Students), made to the Parliament of Australia, Senate Legal and Constitutional Affairs Committee, on 15th January, 2019, now expanded.

LIBERTY, EQUALITY, FRATERNITY

Verbal engineering uses

Words as Weapons for social engineering

THE FRENCH REVOLUTION of 1789 adopted LIBERTY EQUALITY, FRATERNITY from *Due contrat social*, "The Social Contract, by Jean Jacque Rousseau, 1762.

It sounded so true, so noble and so good -- but became a pretext for Liberty to negate Equality by murder; for State enforced Equality to negate Liberty; and for the guillotine to discriminate against Fraternity.

Similarly, today's academics claimed freedom from censorship. They claim it for themselves but deny it to Western Civilization and Christendom. Their students, so intellectually and emotionally fragile, need 'trigger warnings' and 'safe spaces'.

DISCRIMINATION DIVERSITY DIFFERENCE

This Bill uses **words as weapons**. Discrimination against some specified diversities and differences is illegal. But for those changing gender, it will be legal to discriminate against the diversity and difference of Christianity. This negates far more fundamental freedoms such as conscience, religion, freedom to think contrary thoughts, to speak out, to publish.

Is it not a lateral leap lacking logic to equate the misfortune of intersex in those rare cases of muddled chromosomes and genitalia, with the entirely different problem of hapless youths who seemingly missed out on an upbringing in a stable marriage blessed with siblings and friends, of growing up with those of their own sex and the opposite sex, and who later suffered from politically motivated propaganda at school to change their sex or gender?

What evidence is there for discrimination in religious schools? Have there been expulsions or bullying in religious schools? If such exist, are changes in the law really necessary to fix it?

What need is there for this Bill when staff or students who repudiate Christian sexual morality have no need to be in Christian schools at all? How can they claim a right to set its agenda?

Christian parents have a natural right to raise their children and to choice of schools. Like all tax-paying parents, they choose schooling to suit their beliefs and values. Would the Labor Party be expected to employ supporters of the Liberal Party? Will the imposition of alien moralities be tolerated in Islamic schools -- or erupt in violence?

A NEW RELIGION of ANTI-RELIGION

Will this Bill weaken the autonomy of religious organizations? Or attack their freedom to exist?

Does this violate our Federal Constitution and indeed the more general principle of separation of Church and State taught by Jesus Christ:-

Render therefore to Caesar the things that are Caesar's, and to God the things that are God's.

Matthew 22:21

Is the ENLIGHTENMENT to be replaced by an en-darken-ment to become the EN-NIGHTEN-MENT?

Will this Bill empower the Australian government to establish a religion of its own, a State religion to replace traditional religions?

Is the State establishing a religion of anti-religion, with its own creed, ethics and forced conversions, all enforced by law – actually an anti-religion of atheism, amorality and immorality, a religion whose Almighty is the State and whatever it dictates?

ATHEISM, Science and Conscience

Atheism is against modern science. Atheism assumes there is no God, and thereby rejects the basic philosophy of science to work from evidence and reason and its unstated philosophical assumptions that reality and our minds are rational and capable of knowing truth.

For instance, the SEARCH for EXTRA-TERRESTIAL INTELLIGENCE (SETI) assumes we shall recognize order and design in radio signals, and take them as the **signature** of intelligence and purpose. If this applies to outer space, it is scientific to assume it applies on earth, where scientists daily discover new wonders in our world and bodies, the signature of an unseen Super Intelligence and Power, Almighty God.

The Creed of atheism rejects conscience and objective morality. Harmony among citizens in an atheist society has to be imposed by **external force**. Without conscience, there is no internal restraint. Without religion, only a subjective morality remains. It is far **more expensive** to run the country in this way, and to depend on the fear of the police, increasing surveillance and increasingly costly welfare.

This is dictatorship, not democracy.

Yours faithfully in God,

Father James Tierney

MY CREDENTIALS for the Senate Committee

Born 1935, converted to Catholicism 1955, ordained priest 1964. University of Sydney B.Sc. (Mathematics, Physics, Geology), Dip.Ed., formerly M.A.C.E.

See similar submissions to (1) The Expert Panel on Religious Freedom 2018 (in *Handouts* nn. 166-176); and (2) NSW Legislative Council Select Committee on Home-schooling 2014 (Handouts nn.156-159). Handouts are downloadable from www.cardinalnewman.com.au.

OUTCOME

Senator Wong's bill was defeated. But beware! It will all come up again after the Federal election...

MULTICULTURALISM and EQUALITY

MULTICULTURALISM was a new political deception to brainwash us into false ideas of equality. It replaced assimilation and integration.

The media and the school systems promoted it. Its absurdity became obvious, even to the gullible, in the suicide murder of 3,000 innocents in the destruction of the Twin Towers in New York, in 2001. These murders were carried out by deranged men claiming membership in a certain culture and religion. Such religion was incompatible with natural moral law, i.e. 'natural law', 'natural morality'.

If one religion aims to exterminate all others by violence, there can be no multiculturalism.

Christianity, on the other hand, aims to convert the world by example, love and kindly persuasion.

It is the **half-truth** aspect of multiculturalism that makes it attractive. It is the **half-error** that makes it a disaster. It can only work when there is a common culture founded in shared values, adhered to by most of the people most of the time. It is hard to see how multiculturalism would succeed without a shared religion, or at least a toleration of the consciences of others in their choice of religion.

Indeed, the Catholic Church is the only really multicultural society ever. It is united by one Faith.

Citizens can live together in a harmonious civilized fashion only when they are united by natural morality. Some call this shared values, but even better is shared virtues, for not all values are virtues.

Natural morality sustains **harmonious living**. Harmonious living depends on **shared values**.

Shared values depend on the culture.

The **culture** depends on a religion.

Therefore **natural morality sustains** (and *is sustained by*) **religion**, and no religion can negate it.

THE TEN COMMANDMENTS

(called the Ten Words in Jerusalem Bible, Exodus 34:28)

Natural morality can be known authentically from human reason and experience. For His Chosen People, however, God revealed basic morality to Moses (Exodus 20:1-17, Deut. 5:6-21), since His Chosen People were so 'stiff-necked' (Exodus 34:9) and otherwise might fail to know and observe it.

Earlier, about 1760 B.C. in Babylon, The Code of Hammurabi legislated for a harmony in society (see www.wildsidebooks.com for Wildside Press, LLC, 2009) with six of the Ten Commandments: not taking God's name in vain (2^o); honouring parents (4); no murder (5); no adultery (6^o); no stealing (7); no false witness (8).

This natural morality was scattered throughout Hammurabi's Code, but it lacked the logical sequence of Moses -- cf. *Catholic Family Catechism Apostles Edition* nn. 371-372, *Disciples' Edition* p. 93. Even without revelation, this natural morality was the foundation for detailed laws.

MUNITIONS for the MIND

Political Correctness

Student mobs shout down, harass and intimidate any who challenge the current political correctness and its

slavish anti-intellectual conformity.

Who decided that 'equality' should be Politically Correct? For it is manifestly absurd for atheists to declare that human beings are equal when they cannot tell us *why* or even *how* -- except by declaring it a 'right'. So we ask, "Where did 'rights' come from?" Is our government setting itself up as God, in place of the real One, God the Creator?

No appeasement

Jordan Peterson, psychologist-prophet from Canada, concedes nothing to Political Correctness. Rather, he shows up their contradictions and lack of common sense, and challenges their alleged facts.

He never appeases error or evil, unlike poor Neville Chamberlain appeasing Hitler in 1938... "until the old, old truth finally dawned on Chamberlain that ultimately force must be met by force", and he declared war on Germany on 3rd September, 1939.

Words as weapons for Thought-control

Mao Tse Tung said, "If you use our words you will think our thoughts." It follows that, "If you think our thoughts you will do our deeds" and "If you don't, you won't." And "If we ban your words we can stymie your thoughts, and hinder any action of yours against us."

Discrimination

To discriminate between true and false, right and wrong, good and evil is praiseworthy:-

Give Thy servant therefore an understanding mind to govern Thy people that I may discern between good and evil; for who is able to govern this Thy great people? King Solomon, 1 Kings 3:9

Consequence of not discriminating Good & Evil

Politicians and media no longer recognize morality, nor schools do uphold morals. Excessive freedom and promotion of adultery, plus scorn for matrimony, have proved a road to unhappiness, depression, despair and suicide. It is word-weaponry's fraud and farce to call something marriage, when a same-sex pair cannot achieve matrimony, 'the making of a mother'.

Economic argument

We should emphasize that good morals actually reduce the taxes levied for welfare, health, schooling, peace-keeping, police and courts. It pays to be good!

CONCLUSION

We conclude as we started, with Jean Jacques Rousseau. He claimed he would defend to the death the right of others to disagree with him. His disciples among academics and media can now afford to ignore their patron. They have achieved what they wanted: (1) abolition of any restraint on their speech or publishing, and (2) moral corruption by sex mis-education of youth who now firmly believe there is no God, conscience or moral law.

Father James Tierney

© The Rev. B.J.H. Tierney, Rockview, 143 Old Trunk Rd., The Rock NSW 2655, phone/fax 02 6920 2000. Handouts are FREE and may be copied for any non-profit teaching purpose. Donations to defray costs are welcome and should be made to the publisher and distributor, the Cardinal Newman Faith Resources Inc., PO Box 359, St Marys NSW 1790; phone 02 9673 2235; fax 029673 3191; email fr@cardinalnewman.com.au/fax 02 6920 2000.