

THE CORONAVIRUS, DEFENCES & CURES

Open letter to Catholic Hierarchy & Laity and all Australians

RECENT HISTORY

MANKIND continues to suffer from mutations of the Wuhan Virus by death, sickness, fear.

Defences include or have included compulsory hand-washes; masks; home lock-ins & work lock-outs; shops restricted to essential services like food, alcohol, hardware, also big businesses, doctors, chemists & prostitutes; with heavy-handed enforcement by police; severe penalties, even to rubber bullets fired into demonstrations of protest -- no protests permitted.

Consequences have been Government prohibition of, or at least restrictions on, the public worship of God; breadwinners forced out of work, some jobs lost for ever (but never in the Public Service); closures of businesses, manufacturers, school; bankruptcies and bail-outs; break-down of society's complex interlocking services; desperation, despair, depression, accompanied by illness and mental illness and many suicides, especially among the young.

Hospital staffs have been stretched to breaking point and urgent treatment of much else postponed, sometimes fatally. Affectionate and social bonds between family members and friends have been trampled on, with the lonely and the dying ignored or treated harshly.

GOVERNMENTS MIGHT HAVE DONE BETTER IF THEY HAD NOT:-

1. concealed the TRUTH, (a) the statistics of deaths by the virus itself; (b) the preventative measures;
2. suppressed, and abetted by the mass media, any contrary narrative of the problem and its solutions;
3. refused to conduct scientific experimentation in or evaluation of alternatives;
4. refused even to allow alternative preventatives and proven remedies, such as ivermectin.

BISHOPS MIGHT HAVE DONE BETTER IF THEY HAD:-

1. insisted that church gatherings are an essential service for sustaining the human spirit;
2. not feared fines and gaol as penalties for obeying God rather than unbelieving civil rulers;
3. proclaimed God's Good News: plagues, droughts, floods, fires and all disasters are a call to repentance;
4. called weak Catholics and unbelievers to come back to honouring God by prayer and morality.

DETECTIVES find the truth by a convergence of suspicious snippets, such as:-

1. Why forbid the healthy their normal freedoms instead of just isolating the sick and suspected carriers?
2. What is the motive for redefining "vaccine"? Until recently, it meant an attenuated or killed suspension of micro-organisms, incapable of inducing severe infection, but capable, when inoculated by them, of countering the unmodified species of the infectious organism. This redefinition has deceived people into thinking it was like vaccines for polio and small pox, akin to Louis Pasteur's for tuberculosis or rabies. Nor are these new "vaccines" tested longitudinally (i.e. over years) or for all age groups.
3. Are allegation being investigated that some Pfizer test results were falsified?
4. "Vaccines" like Pfizer etc are in reality mRNA, i.e. genetic modifiers of our RNA.
5. Why not try such genetic modifiers with their unknown outcome only on volunteer risk-takers?
6. Is it reckless for governments to intimidate and even force us all to be guinea pigs?
7. What if it turns out that these chemicals are lethal, crippling or sterilizing?
8. Why is Dr Robert Malone who invented mRNAs in 2016 refusing to "have the jab" in 2021?
9. Why is mounting evidence of the evil consequences "of the jab" being kept from the public?
10. Is it because the truth cannot be suppressed much longer that there is such haste to impose the "vaccines"?
11. Why exclude ivermectin and other preventatives and cures when they are quite scientific?
12. Why demand a higher standard of effectiveness and safety for it than for the "vaccines"?
13. Is the reason that cheaply available ivermectin won't make money for big Pharmaceuticals?

14. Why, if the jab is so worthwhile, do the jabbed demonize the unjabbed, and demand they be segregated?

15. Why, when one jab was to be sufficient, is there now need for a second, third and fourth, with no end in sight?

16. Who makes money from our sufferings and reduces us to become addicts of endless jabs?

17. Why should anyone still be lulled into a false sense of security by having the double jab?

18. Is there an even more sinister reason, namely to reduce the world population and enslave us?

19. Why do nurses and doctors have to jabbed when even those jabbed have infected others?

20. Why do teachers have to be jabbed when children are the least likely to suffer from the virus?

21. Why stop the successes of ivermectin with the elderly by Melbourne's Dr Mark Hobart?

22. Why threaten him with deregistration for publicly opposing the jab?

23. Why raid his confidential files on his patients? -- it smacks of Marxist dictatorships...

24. Why not check challenges of Dr Günter Kampf (Germany) and successes of Dr Mary Bowden (USA)?

25. Did Adelaide Professor Petrovsky really prove the Wuhan Virus was a man-made bioweapon?

26. Why ignore his recently developed genuine vaccines against the Covid-19 virus?

27. Should governments stop current vaccinations which are killing otherwise healthy young men?

28. Why does the Australian Government indemnify the Pharmaceuticals by paying their victims compensation?

29. Are various world Governments really receiving money from the Pharmaceutical Manufacturers?

WHAT REALLY HAPPENED IN WUHAN?

by Sharri Markson, Harper-Collins, 2021

MARKSON is a prize-winning Melbourne investigative journalist. The US Congress took her so seriously as to invite her to give them a 30 minute address via the internet on her researches.

Her book exposes the lies, deceit and suppression of the truth on the origins of the Chinese flu virus by USA scientists and doctors who alleged it came from the Wuhan wet market and mocked anything else as a conspiracy -- told lies in *The Lancet* -- when they themselves were the conspirators.

She proves:-

- (1) it came from the Wuhan Virology Laboratory which specializes in biological warfare;
- (2) probably released accidentally, though others say deliberately to reduce world population;
- (3) USA scientists and medicos, using American tax-payers money, helped in its development (and also France and other countries, including an Australian from CSIRO);
- (4) many are now guilty of lying or cover-up;
- (5) Adelaide Professor Petrovsky proved the virus was specifically apt for killing human beings.
- (6) Markson has 26 chapters; anyone can check her facts from 22 pages listing detailed sources.

REMEDIES -- the TRUTH will set you free

- Good medical practice is "first, do no harm", *primum non nocere*, don't make things worse.
- Good government of the people is by the people and for the people, and not for the politicians.
- Our governments might "follow the science" of those doctors treating patients successfully.

CONCLUSION

- "Vaccine" makers might be told they have turned out to be less effective and less safe than hoped.
- Government, at the stroke of a pen, could abolish the apartheid of the unjabbed and reunite society in peace by allowing ivermectin and other successful prophylactics and cures to be prescribed by family doctors.

Rev. Father B.J.H. Tierney B.Sc.

Born 1935; Convert 1955; Priest 1964; originally A/diocese Sydney, now Broken Bay; retirement apostolate catechetics.